



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/819,703	03/29/2001	Kenichiro Sakai	826.1720	4089
21171 7	7590 06/16/2006		EXAM	INER
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			nguyen, hau h	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2628	

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
	09/819,703	SAKAI ET AL.	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Hau H. Nguyen	2628	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication of the second part of the sec	ati nappears nth covrsheet	with the correspondenc address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO II, by statute, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>29 March 2006</u> .	•	
)⊠ This action is non-final.	••	-
3) Since this application is in condition fo	r allowance except for formal ma	itters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-15</u> is/are pending i	n the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		b by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objecti		-	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority do		A 15 15 AL	
•	ocuments have been received in		
 Copies of the certified copies of application from the International 		n received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	nt received	
	tor a not or are coranica copies no		
	·	•	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO) 		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Dat	
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (FTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2628

DETAILED ACTION

The RCE filed March 29, 2006 has been considered in preparing this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 7-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nunokawa et al (6,335,729 hereinafter "Nunokawa") in view of Ogawa et al (6,529, 218 hereinafter "Ogawa").

Nunokawa teaches an image display device and a method (Figs. 1 and 3) for storing a plurality of images (map data) and displaying the image based on a user's display operation (from operation unit 19) comprising a non-volatile storage unit (18) storing data which can be rewritten and maintaining stored data even if a main power supply is switched off; an image storage unit (17) storing an image; an image display unit (15) displaying the image stored in the image storage unit; an operation detection unit detecting a user's display operation to modify a display state of the image displayed on the image display unit (col. 4, lines 15-22); a display information reading unit (such as a step of reading, col. 2, lines 33-35) for reading the display information from said non-volatile storage unit when power is switched on; and a display information writing

Art Unit: 2628

unit (steps 109 and 110) for writing the display information for indicating a display state. Nunokawa further teaches to store "predetermined control information into the non-volatile storage means to eliminate a need for reading various control data for the recording medium after the power supply is turned on and makes it possible to reduce the time spent before information is displayed after the power supply is turned on accordingly" (col. 10, line 61 to col. 11, line 3 and col. 8, lines 17-21). Nunokawa fails to teach the display information writing unit writing display information for indicating a display state including a displayed position.

However, Ogawa teach an image display device as shown in Fig. 1, comprising a non-volatile memory 14, operation detection 30, image storing unit 13, image display unit 21 (col. 4, lines 3-25). Ogawa further teach a display information writing unit for writing display information indicating a display state including a displayed position (Fig. 2, steps S103-S109, col. 5, lines 5-35). Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the method as taught by Nunokawa in combination with the method as taught by Ogawa in order to easily retrieve the latest image just before the power being turned off, and thereby providing convenience to the user, and reducing power consumption.

Therefore, at least claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10-15 would have been obvious.

As per claim 3, Nunokawa and Ogawa fail explicitly teach or suggest if the display information read from said non-volatile storage unit is not a prescribed value, said display information reading unit modifies the display information to a prescribed rating value. However, since the displayed image has a certain resolution permitted by

Art Unit: 2628

the display device, the scaled or enlarged displayed image should have a limit to the magnification. Therefore, the display information reading unit should modify the image to fit the permitted resolution of the display device.

As per claim 5, Nunokawa teaches if said operation detection unit does not detect another user's display operation during a specific time period after detecting a user's display operation, said display information writing unit writes the display information in said non-volatile storage unit (such as, in the parking lot when the car is not moving).

As per claim 9, Nunokawa teaches the display information includes at least one of information for specifying an original image, information about magnification of a display image and information for indicating a position in the original image of a display image (col. 4, lines 15-38). This is also taught in Ogawa for enlarging and reducing the image.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hau H. Nguyen whose telephone number is: 571-272-7787. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI from 8:30-5:30.

Art Unit: 2628

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung can be reached on (571) 272-7794.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-2 17-9197 (toll-free).

H. Nguyen

6/9/2006

Kee M. Tung Primary Examiner